

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/751,715	01/05/2004	Gary G. Churan	9301-81 2262	
759	90 07/12/2005		EXAM	INER
Mitchell S. Big	gel		TRAN, D	ALENA
Myers Bigel Sibley & Sajovec, P.A. P. O. Box 37428			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Raleigh, NC 27627			3661	
			DATE MAILED: 07/12/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/751,715	CHURAN, GARY G.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dalena Tran	3661				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 M	<u>//ay 2005</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ∑ This	s action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-69</u> is/are pending in the application						
- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•				
	Claim(s) <u>1-22,28,29,32-56,62,63 and 66-69</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) 23-27,30,31,57-61,64 and 65 is/are of	objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		* *				
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).				
 Certified copies of the priority document 	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Application	on No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio		d in this National Stage				
application from the International Burea						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
Attacker and a						
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗖	(PTO 442)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da	F10-413) te				
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>1/5/04</u> .		atent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/751,715

Art Unit: 3661

DETAILED ACTION

Notice to Applicant(s)

- 1. This office action is responsive to the response on 5/13/05 to restriction requirement.

 The examiner reconsider the restrict requirement, and withdrawn the restriction requirement.

 Therefore, this office action will be examining on claims 1-69. Claims 1-69 are pending.
- 2. The prior art submitted on 1/5/04 has been considered.
- 3. The dependency of claim 47 should be corrected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1-9, and 36-44, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Krasner (6,133,874).

As per claim 1, Krasner discloses a wireless communications system comprising:
a terrestrial wireless network that is configured to transmit wireless communications including
Global Positioning System (GPS) data over a satellite frequency band (see column 10, lines
3-43); and a mobile terminal that is configured to receive the wireless communications including
the GPS data from the terrestrial wireless network over the satellite frequency band and to

Application/Control Number: 10/751,715

Art Unit: 3661

perform pseudo-range measurements using the GPS data that is received over the satellite frequency band (see columns 10-11, lines 44-60).

As per claims 2, and 5, Krasner discloses a network operations center and wherein the mobile terminal is further configured to transmit the pseudo-range measurements to the network operations center (see columns 9-10, lines 38-2).

As per claim 3, Krasner discloses the network operations center is configured to receive the pseudo-range measurements and to determine a position of the mobile terminal using the pseudo-range measurements (see columns 5-6, lines 62-43).

As per claim 4, Krasner discloses the network operations center is further configured to transmit the position of the mobile terminal to the mobile terminal (see columns 12-13, lines 36-16; and columns 15-17, lines 41-10).

As per claim 6, Krasner discloses a space-based component that is configured to wirelessly communicate with the mobile terminal over the satellite frequency band and wherein the mobile terminal is configured to transmit the pseudo-range measurements to the network operations center via the space-based component (see column 19, lines 1-28).

As per claim 7, Krasner discloses the mobile terminal is further configured to receive GPS coarse/acquisition (C/A) signals from a plurality of GPS satellites, to estimate Doppler shifts in the GPS C/A signals and to estimate received code phases of the GPS C/A signals using the Doppler shifts that are estimated (see columns 17-18, lines 45-49).

As per claim 8, Krasner discloses the GPS data that is received from the terrestrial wireless network includes a Doppler shift that is measured at the terrestrial wireless network and a code phase that is measured at the terrestrial wireless network and wherein the mobile terminal

Application/Control Number: 10/751,715

Art Unit: 3661

is further configured to estimate residual Doppler shifts in the GPS C/A signals due to mobile terminal motion using the Doppler shift and code phase that are measured at the terrestrial wireless network and to estimate the code phases of the GPS C/A signals using the Doppler shift that is estimated (see columns 7-8, lines 26-48).

As per claim 9, Krasner discloses the satellite frequency band is outside the GPS frequency band (see columns 6-7, lines 44-25).

Claims 36-44 are method claims corresponding to system claims 1-9 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

6. Claims 10-19, and 45-53, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Watters et al. (5,982,324).

As per claim 10, Watters et al. discloses a terrestrial wireless network for a cellular wireless communications system comprising: a plurality of terrestrial base stations that are configured to transmit wireless communications including global Positioning System (GPS) data to mobile terminals over a satellite frequency band (see the abstract; columns 9-10, lines 46-13; column 11, lines 17-44; and column 12, lines 25-67).

As per claim 11, Watters et al. discloses wherein the plurality of terrestrial base stations are further configured to receive pseudo-range measurements from mobile terminals over the satellite frequency band (see columns 6-7, lines 30-39; and columns 17-18, lines 12-3).

As per claim 12, Watters et al. discloses a network operations center and wherein the plurality of terrestrial base stations are further configured to transmit the pseudo-range measurements to the network operations center (see columns 14-15, lines 63-67).

As per claim 13, Watters et al. discloses the network operations center is configured to receive the pseudo-range measurements and to determine a position using the pseudo-range measurements (see column 16 lines 1-39).

As per claim 14, Watters et al. discloses the network operations center is further configured to transmit the position of the mobile terminal to the mobile terminal (see columns 7-8, lines 40-36; and column 18, lines 20-53).

As per claim 15, Watters et al. discloses the terrestrial base stations comprise terrestrial cellular network base stations, ancillary terrestrial network base stations and/or access points of a wireless local and/or wide area network (see column 13, lines 38-67).

As per claim 16, Watters et al. discloses wherein the satellite frequency band is outside the GPS frequency band (see columns 10-11, lines 14-16).

As per claim 17, Watters et al. discloses a mobile terminal comprising: a receiver that is configured to receive wireless communications including Global Positioning System (GPS) data over a satellite frequency band that is outside a GPS frequency band (see column 13, lines 1-37; and columns 19-20, lines 48-13); and a processor that is configured to perform pseudo-range measurements using the GPS data that is received over the satellite frequency band that is outside the GPS frequency band (see columns 11-12, lines 45-24; column 13, lines 38-67; and columns 20-21, lines 14-32).

As per claim 18, Watters et al. discloses a transmitter that is configured to transmit the pseudo-range measurements over the satellite frequency band that is outside the GPS frequency band (see column 14, lines 28-62).

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 19, Watters et al. discloses wherein the receiver is further configured to receive GPS C/A signals from a plurality of GPS satellites and wherein the processor is further configured to estimate Doppler shifts in the GPS C/A signals and to estimate received code phases of the GPS C/A signals using the Doppler shifts that are estimated (see columns 16-17, lines 40-11).

Claims 45-49, and 50-53, are method claims corresponding to system claims 10-14, and 16-19 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 20-22, 28-29, 32-35, 54-56, 62-63, and 66-69, are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Watters et al. (5,982,324) in view of Krasner (6,133,874).

As per claim 20, Watters et al. do not disclose a Doppler shift, and a code phase. However, Krasner discloses the GPS data that is received from the terrestrial wireless network includes a Doppler shift that is measured at the terrestrial wireless network and a code phase that is measured at the terrestrial wireless network and wherein the mobile terminal is further configured to estimate residual Doppler shifts in the GPS C/A signals due to mobile terminal motion using the Doppler shift and code phase that are measured at the terrestrial wireless network and to estimate the code phases of the GPS C/A signals using the Doppler shift that is estimated (see columns 7-8, lines 26-48). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in

the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Watters et al. by combining a Doppler shift, and a code phase that is measured at the terrestrial wireless network for accurately determine the mobile terminal position over the satellite network.

As per claim 21, Watters et al. disclose a mobile terminal comprising: a receiver that is configured to receive Global Positioning System (GPS) C/A signals from a plurality of GPS satellites (see columns 19-20, lines 48-13). Watters et al. do not disclose a Doppler shift. However, Krasner discloses a processor that is configured to estimate Doppler shifts in the GPS C/A signals and to estimate received code phases of the GPS C/A signals using the Doppler shifts that are estimated (see columns 13-14, lines 16-43). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teach of Watters et al. by combing a Doppler shift for accurately determine the mobile terminal position over the satellite network.

As per claim 22, Watters et al. disclose the receiver is further configured to receive from a wireless network a Doppler shift that is measured at the wireless network and a code phase that is measured at the wireless network and wherein the processor is further configured to estimate residual Doppler shifts in the GPS C/A signals due to mobile terminal motion using the Doppler shift and code phase that are measured at the wireless network and to estimate the received code phases of the GPS C/A signals using the Doppler shift that is estimated (see columns 7-8, lines 26-48).

As per claim 28, Watters et al. disclose wherein the wireless network is a terrestrial wireless network (see column 10, lines 3-43).

Art Unit: 3661

As per claim 29, Krasner discloses the terrestrial wireless network comprises a terrestrial cellular network, an ancillary terrestrial network and/or a wireless local and/or wide area network (see column 4, lines 10-48).

Also, as per claim 32, Krasner discloses a transmitter that is configured to transmit the estimated Doppler shifts and/or the estimated received code phases of the GPS C/A signals (see column 15, lines 5-67).

As per claim 33, Watters et al. disclose the mobile terminal includes a GPS processor and a cellular data transceiver therein (see columns 19-20, lines 48-13).

As per claims 34-35, Watters et al. disclose the mobile terminal includes a GPS processor and a cellular voice and data transceiver therein, and wherein the mobile terminal includes a GPS processor, a terrestrial cellular voice and data transceiver and a satellite cellular voice and data transceiver therein (see columns 20-21, lines 14-33).

Claims 54-56, 62-63, and 66-69, are method claims corresponding to system claims 20-22, 28-29, and 32-35 above. Therefore, they are rejected for the same rationales set forth as above.

9. Claims 23-27, 30-31, 57-61, and 64-65, are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure:

. Aoki et al. (5,535,430)

Application/Control Number: 10/751,715 Page 9

Art Unit: 3661

. Sheynblat (6,061,018)

. Krasner (6,104,338)

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalena Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-6968. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6:30 AM-4:00 PM), off every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Black can be reached on 571-272-6956. Effective on July 15, 2005, the new fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Patent Examiner
Dalena Tran

Palentin

July 7, 2005